

United States Patent and Trademark Office

fw

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR . | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/718,736 | 11/21/2003 | Daniel M. Bacza | 030459 (BLL-0131) | 1814 |
| 36192 7590 05/18/2007 CANTOR COLBURN LLP - BELLSOUTH 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMEIELD CT 06003 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | SHERMAN, STEPHEN G | |
| BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2629 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| • | | | 05/18/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Application Number | Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination | |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---|--|
| | 10/718,736 | BAEZA, DANIEL M. | |
| | | Art Unit | |
| | Stephen Sherman | 2629 | |
| Document Code - AP.PRE | .DEC | | |

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in

| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Re | view filed <u>4/5/07</u> . |
|---|--|
| Improper Request – The Request is improper a reason(s): | nd a conference will not be held for the following |
| ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concur ☐ The request does not include reasons why a re ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pr ☐ Other: . | eview is appropriate. |
| The time period for filing a response continues to run for the mail date of the last Office communication, if no No. | |
| 2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interheld. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this derunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based to of the notice of appeal, as applicable. | nere is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant h 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal cision, or the balance of the two-month time period ever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the |
| ☐ The panel has determined the status of the clear Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | aim(s) is as follows: |
| 3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits reapplicant at this time. | |
| 4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by a | |
| All participants: | |
| (1) Reinhard J. Eisenzopf. RE (2) Amr Awad. Am Am bran | (3) <u>Stephen Sherman</u> . S,S. |
| (2) Amr Awad. from Ahad form | (4) |

AMR A. AWAD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER